

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICA	NT	ATTORNEY DOCKET HO.
08/487.355	0870779	5 BOLOGNESI	Ţ)	7872-027

HM21/0526

LAURA A CORUZZI PENNIE & EDMONDS 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036-2711

EXAMMER					
STUCKER	7.J				
TINU TRA	PAPER NUMBER				
1648	18				
DATE MAILED:	05/26/ <del>9</del> 8				

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

<b>∠</b> ™	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
	is extended to run <b>broatly</b> or co	ontinues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) 🗆	expires three months from the date of the fin- event however, will the statutory period for the	al rejection or as of the mailing date response expire later than six to	ate of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no months from the date of the final rejection.
	The date on which the response, the petition purposes of determining the period of extens	, and the fee have been filed is t ion and the corresponding amou	6(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. he date of the response and also the date for the nt of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR eriod for response or as set forth in b) above.
✓ Ap	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CF	FR 1.192(a).	
Ap to	oplicant's response to the final rejection, filed _ place the application in condition for allowance	5/11/98 has been cor	nsidered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and	or specification will not be entere	ed and the final rejection stands because:
	<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under presented.</li> </ul>	37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propos	ed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
	b. They raise new issues that would requi	re further consideration and/or se	earch. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (Se	ee Note).	•
	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the appapal.</li> </ul>	olication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	e.   They present additional claims without	cancelling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:		
2.	Newly proposed or amended claimsthe non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed ame be as follows:	ndment  will be entered  ndment will be	will not be entered and the status of the claims will
	Claims allowed:		
	Claims objected to:		
			44
	Applicant's response has overcome the	following rejection(s):	tacked action
4. 🖊	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconside as a walking action	ration has been considered but o	does not overcome the rejection because
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered presented.	because applicant has not show	n good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
☐ The	e proposed drawing correction  has	has not been approved by the ex	aminer.
Oth	her		

Serial Number: 08/487355

Art Unit: 1648

This Advisory Action is in response to the Response to Final Rejection filed 5/11/98. Claims 16, 18, and 19 are canceled. Claims 20-55 are added. Claims 17 and 20-55 are pending and under final rejection.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not accurately describe the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP \$ 608.01(b).

The rejection of claims 16-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in view of the amendment to the claims.

The rejection of claims 17 and new claims 20-50 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is maintained. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant argues that because the specification teaches that the claimed peptides exhibit in vitro

Serial Number: 08/487355 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

viral inhibition and DP178 peptides inhibit HIV in HuPBMC-SCID mice that the claimed peptides are enabled for inhibiting HepB infection in vivo. This is not convincing as there is no correlation disclosed in the specification between the models relied upon and the scope of the invention as claimed. Applicant has not taught how to make and use the claimed invention in such a way so as to overcome the difficulties of moving from an *in vitro* model to a dynamic *in vivo* body. Therefore, the instant specification is not enabled for the scope of the claimed invention.

The instant invention appears to be free of the prior art.

No claims are allowed.

Papers related this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989).

The Group 1600 Fax numbers are: (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Unofficial communications may be faxed to: (703) 308-4426.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Stucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-4237. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 7:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Adams, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-0570.

Serial Number: 08/487355 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jeffry Thucker